

## A Group-Theoretic Approach to Generalized Bahadur Expansion for Joint Probability Densities

K.R. Parthasarathy  
Indian Statistical Institute, New Delhi, India

### SUMMARY

Motivated by Bahadur [1] and Diaconis [3], a generalized Bahadur expansion for joint probability densities in a product of Borel spaces is introduced. When the marginal spaces are compact groups we exploit the techniques of harmonic analysis (Helson [4], Chandrasekharan [2]) to define the Bahadur correlations in such a way that they transform covariantly under all group translations.

*Key words* : Bahadur expansion, Bahadur correlation, Item analysis, Compact group, Representation.

### 1. Introduction

P. Diaconis [3] has amply demonstrated the usefulness of group representations in the spectral analysis of data. As a special application he has illustrated how Bahadur's item analysis [1] can be looked upon as the exploitation of harmonic analysis in the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$ , the  $k$ -fold product of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$  with addition modulo 2.

Here a general version of Bahadur's expansion is presented for a joint probability density in terms of orthonormal bases for the  $L^2$ -spaces of marginal distributions. This leads to natural notions of higher order interactions or correlations between the marginal components. Inspired by Diaconis' approach we present orthonormal bases for  $L^2(P)$  where  $P$  is a general probability distribution in a compact group with a nowhere vanishing density. These bases are eminently suitable for writing Bahadur expansions in  $\mathbb{Z}_d^k$  with  $d \geq 2$ . This reduces to Bahadur's method when  $d=2$ . Furthermore the higher order correlations arising from these expansions transform covariantly under all group translations.

### 2. A General Expansion for Joint Probability Densities

Let  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  be separable Borel spaces and let  $P$  be a probability measure on the product Borel space  $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n (X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$  with marginal

distributions  $P_i$  on  $(X_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Denote by  $Q = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P_i$ , the product measure and assume that  $P$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $Q$  and

$$\int \left( \frac{dP}{dQ} \right)^2 dQ < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\{\mathbb{I}, \phi_{i_1}, \phi_{i_2}, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis of functions for the Hilbert space  $L^2(P_i)$  where  $\mathbb{I}$  denotes the constant function identically equal to unity. Note that the sequence  $\phi_{ij}, j = 1, 2, \dots$  may be of finite or infinite length depending on the nature of  $P_i$ . Define

$$\psi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; j_1 j_2 \dots j_m}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{r=1}^m \phi_{i_r, j_r}(x_{i_r}), \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n \quad (2.2)$$

These functions together with  $\mathbb{I}$  constitute an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(Q)$  and by the assumption (2.1),  $\frac{dP}{dQ}$  admits the Hilbert space expansion

$$\frac{dP}{dQ} = \mathbb{I} + \sum_{m=2}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} \rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m} \psi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m} &= \int \frac{dP}{dQ} \bar{\psi}_{i_1 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m} dQ \\ &= \mathbb{E}_P \bar{\psi}_{i_1 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$\mathbb{E}_P$  denoting expectation with respect to  $P$ . It is to be noted that

$$\rho_{i; j} = \mathbb{E}_P \bar{\psi}_{ij} = \mathbb{E}_{P_i} \bar{\phi}_{ij} = 0$$

and hence there is no term corresponding to  $m=1$  in (2.3). The right hand side of (2.3) converges in  $L^2(Q)$  and

$$\int \left( \frac{dP}{dQ} - 1 \right)^2 dQ = \sum_{m=2}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} \sigma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^2 \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\sigma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^2 = \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m} \left| \rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} \right|^2 \tag{2.6}$$

The left hand side of (2.5) measures the derivation of P from independence of its marginal constituents and  $\sigma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^2$  is the contribution to this deviation arising from the m-th order interaction of the factors  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$ . If  $\sigma^2 = \int \left( \frac{dP}{dQ} - 1 \right)^2 dQ$  then the ratio  $\sigma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^2 / \sigma^2$  is a measure of the relative importance of the m-th order interaction of the factors  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$ . It is also important to note that  $\sigma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^2$  is independent of the choice of  $\{ \phi_{ij}, j = 1, 2, \dots; 1 \leq i \leq n \}$ . This can be easily seen as follows : we have the direct sum decomposition

$$L^2(P_i) = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{I} \oplus \mathcal{X}_i, \quad \mathcal{X}_i = \mathbf{I}^\perp$$

In the Hilbert space tensor product

$$L^2(Q) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{I} \oplus \mathcal{X}_i)$$

the subspaces

$$\mathcal{X}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = \mathbf{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathcal{X}_{i_1} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathcal{X}_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathcal{X}_{i_m} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{I}$$

where the unit vector  $\mathbf{I}$  appears in positions other than  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$ , make the direct sum decomposition

$$L^2(Q) = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{I} \oplus \bigoplus_{m=1}^n \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq m} \mathcal{X}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$$

and

$$\sigma_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^2 = \left\| E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} \left( \frac{dP}{dQ} - 1 \right) \right\|^2$$

where  $E_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$  is the projection on the subspace  $\mathcal{X}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}$

Suppose  $\underline{x}^{(1)}, \underline{x}^{(2)}, \dots, \underline{x}^{(N)}$  are N independent observations with the probability law P in  $\bigotimes_i X_i$ . Define

$$\hat{\rho}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N \bar{\psi}_{i_1 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m}(x^{(r)})$$

Owing to (2.4),  $\hat{\rho}_{i_1 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m}$  has expectation  $\rho_{i_1 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m}$ . If  $P=Q$  then as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the family  $\{\sqrt{N} \hat{\rho}_{i_1 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m}\}$  becomes a collection of independent (complex) Gaussian variables with mean 0 and unit variance in law.

Suppose that each  $X_i$  is a finite set of cardinality  $d_i$  and  $P_i(\{x\}) > 0$  for every  $x \in X_i$ . Assume that the set  $\{\phi_{i1}, \phi_{i2}, \dots, \phi_{id_i}\}$  is closed under complex conjugation. Then under the law  $Q$ , the random variable

$$N \sum_{j_1 \dots j_m} |\hat{\rho}_{i_1 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m}|^2$$

has, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , a limiting  $\chi^2$ -distribution with  $(d_{i_1} - 1)(d_{i_2} - 1) \dots (d_{i_m} - 1)$  degrees of freedom for every  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ .

We call (2.3) a *Bahadur expansion* for  $\frac{dP}{dQ}$ . The (complex) scalar  $\sigma^{-1} \rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; j_1 \dots j_m}$  is called the *m*-th order *Bahadur correlation* between the  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ -th marginal components arising from the basis elements  $\phi_{i_j r}$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq m$ . This is a brief summary of the central idea in Bahadur's item analysis cast in a general setting.

### 3. Orthonormal Bases Arising from Harmonic Analysis in a Compact Group

In Section 2 it is already seen, in the context of spectral analysis of data, the importance of constructing simple orthonormal bases of the form  $\{1, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots\}$  in  $L^2(P)$  where  $P$  is a probability measure on a separable Borel space  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Here, consider the case when  $X$  is a compact metric group and  $\mathcal{F}$  is its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open subsets of  $X$ . To begin with we assume that  $X$  is an abelian group. Denote by  $\hat{X}$  its character group. An element  $\chi \in \hat{X}$  is a continuous homomorphism from  $X$  into the 1-dimensional torus, namely, the multiplicative group of complex numbers of modulus unity. Use the symbol  $dx$  to denote integration with respect to the normalised Haar measure on  $X$ . This normalised Haar measure is the uniform distribution on  $X$ . By  $L^2(X)$  we mean the  $L^2$ -space with respect to this uniform distribution on  $X$ . The set  $\hat{X}$  is at most countable and its elements constitute an orthonormal

basis for  $L^2(X)$ . For any  $f \in L^2(X)$  denote by  $\hat{f}$  its "Fourier transform" which is a function defined on the character group  $\hat{X}$  by

$$\hat{f}(\chi) = \int_X f(x) \chi(x) dx \quad (3.1)$$

The following are the basic relations :

$$f(x) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{X}} \hat{f}(\chi) \overline{\chi(x)} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\int f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{X}} \hat{f}(\chi) \overline{\hat{g}(\chi)} \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $f, g \in L^2(X)$ . Here the right hand side of (3.2) converges in  $L^2(X)$  whereas the right hand side of (3.3) converges absolutely. (3.2) is the Fourier inversion formula whereas (3.3) is Parseval's identity (See Helson [4], Chandrasekharan [2]).

Our aim is to construct an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(P)$  where  $P$  is a probability measure on  $X$  which has a density function  $p(\cdot)$  with respect to the uniform distribution satisfying  $p(x) > 0$  for every  $x \in X$ . Define

$$\xi_\chi(x) = \chi(x) p(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in X, \chi \in \hat{X} \quad (3.4)$$

It is clear that

$$\mathbb{E}_P \xi_{\chi_1} \overline{\xi_{\chi_2}} = \delta_{\chi_1 \chi_2} \quad (3.5)$$

$\{\xi_\chi, \chi \in \hat{X}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(P)$  but the constant function  $\mathbf{I}$  does not belong to this basis unless  $p(\cdot) \equiv 1$ . In order to overcome this obstacle introduce the functions

$$q(x) = p(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\eta_\chi(x) = \xi_\chi(x) - \hat{q}(\chi), \quad \chi \neq \mathbf{I} \quad (3.7)$$

$\hat{q}$  being the Fourier transform of the element  $q \in L^2(X)$ . Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_P \eta_\chi = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_P \eta_{\chi_1} \overline{\eta_{\chi_2}} = \delta_{\chi_1 \chi_2} - \hat{q}(\chi_1) \overline{\hat{q}(\chi_2)} \quad (3.9)$$

Then the family  $\eta_\chi$ ,  $\chi \neq \mathbb{I}$  together with the constant function  $\mathbb{I}$  span  $L^2(P)$ . We shall now orthonormalise  $\{\eta_\chi, \chi \neq \mathbb{I}\}$  using (3.9). From (3.3) we have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{X}} |\hat{q}(\chi)|^2 = \int q(x)^2 dx = 1$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\chi \neq \mathbb{I}} |\hat{q}(\chi)|^2 &= 1 - |\hat{q}(\mathbb{I})|^2 \\ &= 1 - \left( \int q(x) dx \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Thus (3.9) can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}_P \eta_{\chi_1} \bar{\eta}_{\chi_2} = \delta_{\chi_1 \chi_2} - u(\chi_1) \bar{u}(\chi_2) + \alpha^2 u(\chi_1) \bar{u}(\chi_2) \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\alpha = \int_X q(x) dx \quad (3.12)$$

$$u(\chi) = (1 - \alpha^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{q}(\chi) \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \mathbb{I}} |u(\chi)|^2 = 1 \quad (3.14)$$

In other words the covariance matrix of the complex-valued random variables  $\{\eta_\chi, \chi \neq \mathbb{I}, \chi \in \hat{X}\}$  undergoes a spectral decomposition with just two eigenvalues 1 and  $\alpha^2$  where the spectral projection corresponding to  $\alpha^2$  is one-dimensional. Now define

$$\phi_\chi^P(x) = \eta_\chi(x) + (\alpha^{-1} - 1) u(\chi) \sum_{\chi' \neq \mathbb{I}} \bar{u}(\chi') \eta_{\chi'}(x) \quad (3.15)$$

Then (3.11) and the preceding remark imply that the family  $\phi_\chi^P$ ,  $\chi \neq \mathbb{I}$  together with the constant function  $\mathbb{I}$  constitute an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(P)$ . Substituting for  $u$  from (3.13) and using (3.2) and (3.6) we observe that (3.15) simplifies to

$$\phi_\chi^P(x) = \left\{ \chi(x) - \left(1 + \int_X q(y) dy\right)^{-1} \hat{q}(\chi) \right\} p(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(1 + \int_X q(y) dy\right)^{-1} \hat{q}(\chi) \quad (3.16)$$

Thus the following theorem is proved.

*Theorem 2.1.* Let  $X$  be a compact metric abelian group with character group  $\hat{X}$ . Suppose  $P$  is a probability measure on  $X$  with density function  $p(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$  with respect to the uniform distribution. Define  $q(x) = p(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\phi_{\chi}^P, \chi \neq \mathbb{1}, \chi \in \hat{X}$  by (3.16). Then the family of functions  $\{\mathbb{1}, \phi_{\chi}^P, \chi \neq \mathbb{1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(P)$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Suppose that  $P$  is replaced by its left translate  $P_a$  defined by  $P_a(E) = P(a^{-1}E)$  for any Borel set  $E \subseteq X, a \in X$ . Then (3.16) implies that

$$\phi_{\chi}^{P_a}(x) = \chi(a) \phi_{\chi}^P(a^{-1}x)$$

This has the following implication for the Bahadur correlations. If  $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are compact metric abelian groups and  $\chi_i \in \hat{X}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n; P'$  is a probability measure on  $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n$  with marginals  $P'_i$  in  $X_i$  such that  $P'$  is absolutely continuous with respect to

$Q = P'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P'_n$  and  $\frac{dP'}{dQ} \in L^2(Q')$  write

$$\rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; \chi_{i_1} \chi_{i_2} \dots \chi_{i_m}}^{P'} = \mathbb{E}_{P'} \overline{\Psi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; \chi_{i_1} \chi_{i_2} \dots \chi_{i_m}}}$$

where

$$\Psi_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; \chi_{i_1} \chi_{i_2} \dots \chi_{i_m}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{r=1}^m \phi_{\chi_r}^{P'_r}(x_{i_r})$$

Then for  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n$  one has

$$\rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m; \chi_{i_1} \chi_{i_2} \dots \chi_{i_m}}^{P'_a} = \prod_{r=1}^m \overline{\chi_{i_r}(a_r)} \rho_{i_1 \dots i_m; \chi_{i_1} \dots \chi_{i_m}}^{P'}$$

In other words the Bahadur correlations for  $P'_a$  and  $P'_a$  differ just by the phase factors  $\prod_{r=1}^m \overline{\chi_{i_r}(a_r)}$  of modulus unity whenever we use orthonormal bases of Theorem 2.1.

*Example 2.3.* Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$  with group operation being addition modulo  $d$ . Then  $\hat{X} = \{\mathbb{1}, \chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_{d-1}\}$  where

$$\chi_k(j) = \exp 2\pi i k j / d, \quad 0 \leq j \leq d-1$$

If  $P(\{j\}) = p_j > 0$  for  $0 \leq j \leq d-1$  is a probability distribution on  $X$  then define

$$\varphi_k^P(j) = \{ \exp 2\pi i k j / d - (1 + \alpha)^{-1} \hat{q}(k) \} p_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (1 + \alpha)^{-1} \hat{q}(k) \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} p_j^{1/2}$$

$$\hat{q}(k) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} p_j^{1/2} \exp 2\pi i k j / d$$

Then  $\{\mathbb{I}, \varphi_1^P, \varphi_2^P, \dots, \varphi_{d-1}^P\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(P)$ .

Going back to Section 2, if each  $X_i$  is the finite set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$  and  $P$  is the probability distribution on  $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n$  with  $i$ -th marginal  $P_i$  where  $P_i(\{j\}) = p_{ij}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d-1$  and  $\varphi_{ik} = \varphi_k^P$  defined through (3.16) one obtains the Bahadur expansion (2.3). When  $d=2$  it coincides with the situation in item analysis.

*Remark 2.4.* Theorem 2.1 admits a simple generalization to the case when the compact group  $X$  is not necessarily abelian. Let  $X = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots\}$  be a maximal family of inequivalent, irreducible unitary matrix representations of  $X$  with  $\pi_0$  being the trivial one-dimensional representation so that  $\pi_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . Denote by  $\pi$  an arbitrary element of  $\hat{X}$  and  $d(\pi)$  the order of the matrices  $\pi(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ . Imitating (3.16) define the  $d(\pi) \times d(\pi)$  matrix-valued function  $\Phi_\pi^P$  by

$$\Phi_\pi^P(x) = d(\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \{ (\pi(x) - (1 + \alpha)^{-1} \hat{q}(\pi)) p(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (1 + \alpha)^{-1} \hat{q}(\pi) \} \quad (3.18)$$

where  $p(x)$  is the density of a probability measure  $P$  with respect to the normalised Haar measure (or uniform distribution on  $X$ ),  $p(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\alpha = \int_X p(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx$$

$$\hat{q}(\pi) = \int_X p(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi(x) dx$$

and  $\pi \neq \pi_0$ . Then the constant function  $\mathbb{I}$  together with the matrix entries  $\varphi_{\pi,ij}^P(x)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq d(\pi)$  of  $\Phi_\pi^P$ ,  $\pi \neq \pi_0$  constitute an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(P)$ .



If  $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  are compact metric groups,  $P'$  is a probability distribution on the product space  $X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n$  with  $i$ -th marginal  $P'_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  so that condition (2.1) is fulfilled and each  $P'_i$  satisfies the conditions of the preceding paragraph then one can define the Bahadur correlations through

$$\rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^{P'}; \pi_{j_1 k_1}^{(i_1)} \pi_{j_2 k_2}^{(i_2)} \dots \pi_{j_m k_m}^{(i_m)}$$

with the help of the bases  $\{ \mathbf{I} \phi_{\pi_{j_r k_r}^{(i_r)}}^{P'} \}$  in  $L^2(P')$ . If  $P'_{\underline{a}}(E) = P'(\underline{a}^{-1} E)$  is the translated distribution through the element  $\underline{a} \in X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^{P'_{\underline{a}}}; \pi_{j_1 k_1}^{(i_1)} \pi_{j_2 k_2}^{(i_2)} \dots \pi_{j_m k_m}^{(i_m)} \\ &= \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m} \pi_{j_1 s_1}^{(i_1)}(a_{i_1}) \pi_{j_2 s_2}^{(i_2)}(a_{i_2}) \dots \pi_{j_m s_m}^{(i_m)}(a_{i_m}) \rho_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^{P'}; \pi_{j_1 k_1}^{(i_1)} \pi_{j_2 k_2}^{(i_2)} \dots \pi_{j_m k_m}^{(i_m)} \end{aligned}$$

In other words the Bahadur correlations based on the factors  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$  and the representations  $\pi^{(i_r)}, 1 \leq r \leq m$  of the groups  $X_{i_r}, 1 \leq r \leq m$  transform covariantly according to the tensor product representation  $\otimes_{r=1}^m \pi^{(i_r)}(a_{i_r})$  under group translations by  $\underline{a} \in X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m$ .

*Example 2.5.* As an illustration for the orthonormal basis coming from (3.17) one may consider the group  $S_3$ , the symmetry group of all permutations of the set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .  $S_3$  has six elements and three irreducible representations. One of them  $\pi_0$  is trivial, the second one denoted by  $\pi_1$  is the one-dimensional signature representation defined by  $\pi_1(\sigma) = 1$  if  $\sigma$  is an even permutation and  $\pi_1(\sigma) = -1$  if  $\sigma$  is an odd permutation and the third one  $\pi_2$ , the two dimensional representation with

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(\text{id}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \pi_2(12) &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \pi_2(13) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, & \pi_2(23) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \pi_2(123) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, & \pi_2(132) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bahadur, R.R., 1961. On classification based on responses to  $n$  dichotomous items. In : *Studies in Item Analysis* (H. Solomon, eds.), Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, CA, U.S.A.
- [2] Chandrasekharan, K., 1996. *A Course on Topological Groups*, Trim series No. 9, Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi.
- [3] Diaconis, P., 1988. Group representations in probability and statistics, *IMS Lecture Notes - Monograph Series*, Hayward, CA, U.S.A.
- [4] Helson, H., 1995. *Harmonic Analysis*, 2nd ed. Trim series No. 7, Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi.